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1. Background to the organisation

London Corporate Training (LCT/the Provider) was established in 1994 to provide management training programmes, principally for overseas companies. It offers short accredited courses in a range of aspects of business, finance and management. Courses take place in the United Kingdom (UK) and overseas.

Its main markets were able to be developed because of existing relationships within the oil, gas and petrochemical industries, banking and financial services and government ministries. More recent relationships have been developed with telecommunications, ports and transport sectors.

LCT is a privately-owned limited company. The sole proprietor is the Executive Chairman. It aims to serve the needs of the oil and gas industry, through offering flexibility in customer service, providing tailor-made courses, including in-house training and using professional and highly qualified training consultants with extensive industry experience.

LCT has a staff of seven full-time employees. It also employs the services of around 50 consultant trainers, although not all of these are active during any given period. In addition, there are two individuals who work on a self-employed basis to provide finance and accounting expertise.

2. Brief description of the current provision

The Provider offers over 120 professional development courses, which cover areas such as strategic management, human resource management, sales, finance and public relations. Recent additions to this portfolio include courses in gas and oil. This figure represents a significant increase since 2009, when it had 35 courses.

The very large majority of delegates come from a number of overseas countries, including Nigeria, Ghana, Sierra Leone, Qatar, Kenya and Saudi Arabia. They work for medium to large organisations, with more than 50 employees. Many delegates have attended LCT courses on more than one occasion.

In the UK, courses are delivered at LCT’s new base in Hammersmith and in additional premises nearby. An increasing number of courses are delivered overseas in locations such as Dubai, Vienna and Geneva.

At the time of inspection, there were 346 delegates booked onto courses, of whom 106 had already attended training provided by LCT. All delegates are over the age of 18, with slightly more males than females. Delegates are able to access a number of different course dates throughout the year.

Since the last inspection, LCT has developed a number of highly bespoke courses. These are developed to meet specific organisations’ needs. The Provider delivers courses for very low numbers of delegates.

One key development has been the review of all courses to assess their relevance and content. This has resulted in the updating and enhancement of existing courses and the introduction of some new ones, particularly in the areas of public relations and operations management. There has also been a growth in the number of finance courses. The review also provided an opportunity to modularise courses, which is facilitating the provision of bespoke courses.

3. Inspection process

The inspection was undertaken by one inspector over two days. The inspector reviewed documentation, met with management and staff, including those responsible for course delivery, observed training and met with delegates. The inspector reviewed the premises. The Provider was well-prepared for the inspection process and all staff cooperated fully during the preparation period and the two days of inspection. The overseas provision was not inspected during this re-accreditation inspection on the basis that the consultant trainers and arrangements for overseeing the quality of the provision are the same as those in the UK.

4. Inspection history:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection type</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Accreditation</td>
<td>13 - 14 May 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>18 June 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-accreditation</td>
<td>20 - 21 June (UK), 26 - 27 June (Dubai) 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>29 July 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following judgements and comments are based upon evidence seen by the inspector(s) during the inspection and from documentation provided by the provider.

INSPECTION AREA - MANAGEMENT, STAFFING AND ADMINISTRATION

1. The provider is effectively managed
   1.1 The management structure is clearly defined, documented and understood, including the role and extent of authority of any owners, trustees or governing body. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   1.2 The head of the provider and other senior managers are suitably qualified and experienced, understand their specific responsibilities and are effective in carrying them out. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   1.3 There are clear channels of communication between the management and staff and those working at the delivery venue/s. ☐ Yes ☐ No

   This standard is judged to be: ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

   Comments
   Senior managers are highly experienced, very well-qualified and have a clear and effective focus on the organisation and the development of its staff.

2. The administration of the provider is effective
   2.1 Administrators are suitably qualified or experienced and understand their specific responsibilities and duties. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   2.2 The size of the administrative team is sufficient to ensure the effective day-to-day running of the provider. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   2.3 The administrative support available to the management is clearly defined, documented and understood. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   2.4 Policies, procedures and systems are well documented and effectively disseminated across the provider. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   2.5 Data collection and collation systems are effective. ☐ Yes ☐ No
   2.6 Administrative offices are adequate in size and resources for the effective administration of the provider. ☐ Yes ☐ No

   This standard is judged to be: ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

   Comments
   The Provider has a small number of vacant posts. The duties that the holders of these posts would carry out have been temporarily distributed among existing staff until new appointments are made. The redistribution has been considered carefully and has not resulted in any disruption to the high quality service provided to delegates.
3. The provider employs appropriate managerial and administrative staff

3.1 There are appropriate policies and effective procedures for the recruitment and continuing employment of suitably qualified and experienced staff.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No

3.2 Experience and qualifications claimed are verified before employment.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No

3.3 There is an effective system for regularly reviewing the performance of staff.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No

This standard is judged to be:  
   - [ ] Met  [ ] Partially Met  [ ] Not Met

Comments

4. Publicity material, both printed and electronic, gives a comprehensive, up-to-date and accurate description of the provider and its programmes

4.1 Text and images provide an accurate depiction of the provider's location, premises, facilities and the range and nature of resources and services offered.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No

4.2 Information on the courses available is comprehensive, accurate and up to date.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No

This standard is judged to be:  
   - [ ] Met  [ ] Partially Met  [ ] Not Met

Comments

The web-based information is accurate, comprehensive and provides an extensive overview of what delegates can expect at LCT.

Recent printed publicity material contains accurate information. However, the Provider has correctly assessed this material as not adequately representing the Provider's very good quality premises, since it contains generic images rather than specific images that relate to LCT.

5. The provider takes reasonable care to recruit and enrol suitable participants for its courses

5.1 Entry requirements for each course, including those relating to language ability, are set at an appropriate level and clearly stated in the course descriptions seen by prospective participants.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] NA

5.2 A formal application process ensures that participants meet the entry requirements and any claimed qualifications are verified.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] NA

5.3 The provider replies to all application enquiries promptly and appropriately and briefs all stakeholders properly on the nature and requirements of its programmes.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] NA

5.4 Any overseas recruitment agents are properly selected, briefed, monitored and evaluated.  
   - [ ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] NA

This standard is judged to be:  
   - [ ] Met  [ ] Partially Met  [ ] Not Met

Comments

The Provider takes a thorough and thoughtful approach to ensuring its delegates are well matched to courses and to consultants. As a result, delegates' needs and interests are very well met and courses match the expectations of their employers, where applicable.
6. There is an appropriate policy on participant attendance and effective procedures and systems to enforce it

6.1 There is a clear and published policy on participant attendance and punctuality.  
6.2 Accurate and secure records of attendance and punctuality at each session are kept for all participants, collated centrally and reviewed.  
6.3 Participant absences are followed up promptly and appropriate action taken.  

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met

Comments

7. The provider regularly obtains and records feedback from participants and other stakeholders and takes appropriate action where necessary

7.1 The provider has effective mechanisms for obtaining feedback from participants and other stakeholders (such as staff, partner providers and employers) on all aspects of the provider's provision, including formal participant representation where appropriate.  
7.2 Feedback is obtained, recorded and analysed on a regular basis.  
7.3 The feedback is reviewed by management and action is taken where necessary.  
7.4 There is a mechanism for reporting on the provider's response to the feedback to the participant body.  

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met

Comments

The course benchmarking system enables the comprehensive gathering of quantitative and qualitative data from delegates on their views of the training they attended. This information is collated centrally and is used to carefully match prospective delegates to courses and consultants. It takes good account not only of consultants’ experience and skills, but also utilises their cultural awareness of their delegates’ ethnic backgrounds.

8. The provider has effective systems to review its own standards and assess its own performance with a view to continuing improvement

8.1 There are effective systems for monitoring and periodically reviewing all aspects of the provider’s performance.  
8.2 Reports are compiled which present the results of the provider’s reviews and incorporate action plans.  
8.3 Action plans are implemented and regularly reviewed.  

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met

Comments

The Provider collects extensive feedback from consultants, delegates and staff and discusses and responds to this information quickly, so that new delegates benefit from any adjustments identified.

8.2 8.3 The Provider does not gather this intelligence into an overarching annual report, which would result in a quality improvement action plan for the coming year. As a result, there is no single central report, which details the Provider’s achievements and challenges, during the year, which would then drive improved performance in the following year.
9. **Programme management is effective**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1 There is a suitably qualified and experienced programme manager or management team with responsibility for teaching, learning and assessment and the management of the body of trainers.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2 Classes are timetabled and rooms allocated appropriately for the courses offered.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3 The allocation of trainers to classes provides for a consistent learning experience and delivery is monitored to ensure consistency.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4 There is an appropriate policy and effective procedures for the acquisition of teaching and learning resources.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This standard is judged to be:**  [ ] Met  [ ] Partially Met  [ ] Not Met

**Comments**

Consultant trainers are thoughtfully matched to delegates' needs and interests. Managers work well together to ensure that delegates receive a high-quality learning experience.

10. **The courses are planned and delivered in ways that enable participants to succeed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Courses are designed and delivered in ways that allow participants to develop the knowledge and skills which will be required for final examinations or assessments or which meet stakeholders' requirements.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2 Lessons and assessments maintain an appropriate focus on any assessment objectives or statement of learning outcomes established by the awarding body.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3 Formative assessments appropriately reflect the nature and standards of summative examinations.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4 Participants are encouraged and enabled to develop independent learning skills.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5 The academic backgrounds and particular needs of participants are taken into account in the classroom delivery of the course.</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This standard is judged to be:**  [ ] Met  [ ] Partially Met  [ ] Not Met

**Comments**

Delegates enjoy their learning and are fully engaged in training sessions. Their progress is appraised by their consultant trainers and feedback is made available to their employers on request.
11. Trainers are suitable for the courses to which they are allocated and effective in delivering them

11.1 Trainers are appropriately qualified and experienced. ☐ Yes ☐ No

11.2 Trainers have a level of subject knowledge, pedagogic and communicative skill which allows them to deliver the content of courses effectively. ☐ Yes ☐ No

11.3 The appraisal procedures for trainers incorporate regular classroom observation. ☐ Yes ☐ No

11.4 Trainers are supported in their continuing professional development and enabled to develop further pedagogic techniques to enhance the learning of participants. ☐ Yes ☐ No

11.5 Trainers respond to different learning needs of participants where appropriate, taking various learning styles into account in their planning and delivery of lessons. ☐ Yes ☐ No

11.6 Trainers employ effective strategies to involve all participants in active participation and to check their understanding of concepts and course content. ☐ Yes ☐ No

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

**Comments**

The consultants are very experienced and highly qualified. They are particularly skillful in establishing rapport with delegates visiting the UK, so that they gain confidence, participate fully in training sessions and make good progress.

11.3 Although formal lesson observation is not undertaken as part of improving quality, extensive delegate feedback is gathered and this provides evidence from which to make judgments about consultants' quality. However, formal lesson observation and peer observation, would provide extensive evidence for the good quality of training sessions and help to further develop the consultants' skills.

12. The provider provides participants and trainers with access to appropriate resources and materials for study

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

**Comments**
13. **Participants receive appropriate assessment and feedback on their performance and progress, which are effectively monitored**

13.1 Feedback is given to individual participants tailored to meet their specific needs and constructive in its nature and delivery.  
13.2 Courses are planned to include a schedule of assessments, the procedures and criteria for which are available in writing and in advance to participants and trainers.  
13.3 Assessment outcomes are monitored to enable the identification of participants who are not making satisfactory progress and prompt intervention where appropriate.  
13.4 Participants are made aware of how their progress relates to their targeted level of achievement.  
13.5 Additional support or advice on alternative courses is provided to participants who are judged not to be making sufficient progress to succeed.  
13.6 Participants have appropriate access to trainers outside class time.

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

**Comments**

Delegates make very good progress in the acquisition of skills and knowledge during their training. However the extent of their development it is not always understood by them or acknowledged by the Provider.

14. **The provider offers courses leading to accredited awards granted by recognised awarding bodies wherever appropriate**

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA

**Comments**

15. **There is a clear rationale for courses leading to unaccredited or internal awards**

15.1 There is a clear statement of the level claimed relative to the NQF/QCF and evidence that participants who receive the award meet the stated requirements for that level.  
15.2 There is evidence of the extent to which the awards are accepted for the purposes of employment or further study.  
15.3 External moderators are involved in the assessment process where appropriate.

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA

**Comments**
16. There are satisfactory procedures for the administration of examinations and other means of assessment

16.1 The provider complies with the requirements of the relevant awarding bodies in terms of examination security and administration where appropriate.

16.2 For internal assessment and awards, there are effective systems in place for examination security and administration, and clear procedures for participants to appeal against their marks.

**This standard is judged to be:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met</th>
<th>Partially Met</th>
<th>Not Met</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments

**INSPECTION AREA - PARTICIPANT WELFARE**

17. Participants receive pastoral support appropriate to their age, background and circumstances

17.1 There is at least one named staff member responsible for participant welfare who is suitably trained, accessible to all participants and available to provide advice.

17.2 Participants receive appropriate advice before the start of the programme.

17.3 Participants receive an appropriate induction and relevant information at the start of the programme.

17.4 Participants are issued with a contact number for out-of-hours and emergency support.

17.5 The provider has policies in place to avoid discrimination and a published procedure for dealing with any abusive behaviour.

17.6 Effective safeguarding arrangements are in place and are regularly reviewed to keep all participants safe.

17.7 Effective arrangements are in place to protect participants from the risks associated with radicalisation and extremism.

**This standard is judged to be:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met</th>
<th>Partially Met</th>
<th>Not Met</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments

Participant welfare is given an extremely high priority and delegates' needs are well met.

There is no necessity for the provision of an out-of-hours contact number since an email alert may be sent at any time to a named staff member who is available at all times.
18. **International participants are provided with specific advice and assistance**

| 18.1 | International participants receive appropriate advice before their arrival on travelling to and staying in the UK. | Yes | No |
| 18.2 | International participants receive an appropriate induction upon arrival covering issues specific to the local area. | Yes | No |
| 18.3 | Information and advice specific to international participants continues to be available throughout the course of study. | Yes | No |
| 18.4 | Provision of support takes into account cultural and religious considerations. Where possible, participants have access to speakers of their own first language. | Yes | No |

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met  ☐ NA

**Comments**

19. **The fair treatment of participants is ensured**

| 19.1 | Participants apply for and are enrolled on courses under fair and transparent contractual terms and conditions. | Yes | No |
| 19.2 | Participants have access to a fair complaints procedure of which they are informed in writing at the start of the course. | Yes | No |
| 19.3 | Participants are advised of BAC’s own complaints procedures. | Yes | No |

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met

**Comments**

20. **Where residential accommodation is offered, it is fit for purpose, well maintained and appropriately supervised**

| 20.1 | Any residential accommodation is clean, safe and of a standard which is adequate to the needs of participants. | Yes | No |
| 20.2 | Any residential accommodation is open to inspection by the appropriate authorities, including Ofsted where participants under 18 are accommodated. | Yes | No  | NA |
| 20.3 | Clear rules and fire, health and safety procedures are in place, with appropriate precautions taken for security of participants and their property. | Yes | No |
| 20.4 | A level of supervision is provided appropriate to the needs of participants. | Yes | No |
| 20.5 | Separate accommodation blocks are provided for participants under 18. | Yes | No  | NA |

This standard is judged to be:  ☐ Met  ☐ Partially Met  ☐ Not Met  ☐ NA

**Comments**
21. **Where home-stay accommodation is organised, the welfare of participants is ensured and the provider’s relationship with hosts is properly managed**

21.1 Due care is taken in selecting home-stay accommodation which both provides a safe and comfortable living environment for participants and is appropriately located for travel to the provider and back. **Yes** **No**

21.2 Any home-stay accommodation is inspected before participants are placed and is subject to regular re-inspection by a responsible representative or agent of the provider. **Yes** **No**

21.3 The provider has appropriate contracts in place with any hosts, clearly setting out the rules, terms and conditions of the provision. **Yes** **No**

21.4 Appropriate advice and support is given to both hosts and participants before and during the placement. **Yes** **No**

21.5 Clear monitoring procedures are in place with opportunities for participant feedback and prompt action taken in the event of problems. **Yes** **No**

This standard is judged to be: **Met** **Partially Met** **Not Met** **NA**

**Comments**

22. **The provider provides an appropriate social programme for participants and information on leisure activities in the area**

22.1 Participants are provided with appropriate information on opportunities for participation at events and other leisure activities which may be of interest. **Yes** **No**

22.2 The social programme is responsive to the needs and wishes of participants. **Yes** **No** **NA**

22.3 Any activities within the social programme have been chosen with consideration for their affordability by the majority of participants. **Yes** **No** **NA**

22.4 Any activities organised by the provider are supervised by a responsible representative with suitable qualifications and experience. **Yes** **No** **NA**

This standard is judged to be: **Met** **Partially Met** **Not Met** **NA**

**Comments**

Delegates attending courses for more than five days enjoy a tour of London. The feedback regarding this event is highly positive.
23. The provider has secure possession of and access to its premises

23.1 The provider has secure tenure on its premises.

23.2 Where required, the provider has access to suitable external premises for training purposes of a temporary or occasional nature.

This standard is judged to be: ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

Comments

24. The premises provide a safe, secure and clean environment for participants and staff

24.1 Access to the premises is appropriately restricted and secured.

24.2 The premises are maintained in an adequate state of repair, decoration and cleanliness.

24.3 There are specific safety rules in areas of particular hazard (e.g. science laboratories), made readily available to participants, staff and visitors.

24.4 General guidance on health and safety is made available to participants, staff and visitors.

24.5 There is adequate signage inside and outside of the premises and notice boards for the display of general information.

24.6 There is adequate circulation space for the number of participants and staff accommodated, and a suitable area in which to receive visitors.

24.7 There are toilet facilities of an appropriate number and level of cleanliness.

24.8 There is adequate heating and ventilation in all rooms.

This standard is judged to be: ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

Comments

The premises, including training rooms, relaxation, food preparation and eating areas, are all of an exemplary standard. In addition, they are very well maintained and prepared by administration staff who ensure that the high standards, that delegates expect, are met and maintained.
25. **Training rooms and other learning areas are appropriate for the courses offered**

| 25.1 Training rooms and other learning areas provide adequate accommodation in size and number for the classes allocated to them. | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| 25.2 Training rooms and any specialised learning areas (e.g. laboratories, workshops, studios) are equipped to a level which allows for the effective delivery of each course. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| 25.3 There are facilities suitable for conducting the assessments required on each course. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

**Comments**

---

26. **There are appropriate additional facilities for participants and staff**

| 26.1 Participants have access to sufficient space and suitable facilities for private study, including library and IT resources. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| 26.2 Trainers have access to sufficient personal space for preparing lessons, marking work and relaxation. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| 26.3 Participants and staff have access to space and facilities suitable for relaxation and the consumption of food and drink where appropriate. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| 26.4 Participants and staff have access to storage for personal possessions where appropriate. | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| 26.5 There are individual offices or rooms in which trainers and senior management can hold private meetings and a room of sufficient size to hold staff meetings. | ☐ Yes ☐ No |

**This standard is judged to be:** ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met

**Comments**

---

**COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS**

Declaration of compliance has been signed and dated. ☐ Yes ☐ No
PART C - SUMMARY OF STRENGTHS AND ACTION POINTS

Numbering of action points aligns with that of the minimum standards

MANAGEMENT, STAFFING AND ADMINISTRATION

Provider’s strengths

A highly cohesive team work well together to achieve a common aim, which is to provide a high quality service to delegates.

Staff are highly reflective about their work and are very sensitive to delegates' needs.

The course benchmarking system is used very well to match the skills and experience of consultants to the needs and interests of the delegates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required</th>
<th>Priority H/M/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.2 8.3 The Provider must use the full range of information available on its performance to produce an annual report. This report will then drive further organisational improvement by leading to a quality improvement or action plan for the coming year.</td>
<td>High Medium Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEACHING, LEARNING AND ASSESSMENT

Provider’s strengths

A highly responsive and personalised approach to ensuring that consultants provide a service that meets or exceeds the expectations of the delegates.

Consultants are skilled, experienced and sensitive to the delegates' many and varied backgrounds and confidence levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required</th>
<th>Priority H/M/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.3 The Provider must introduce a lesson observation scheme, which would further develop the teaching skills of consultants.</td>
<td>High Medium Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PARTICIPANT WELFARE

Provider’s strengths

The Provider ensures that delegates from all backgrounds and cultures mix well together, gain knowledge from each other and enjoy their learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required</th>
<th>Priority H/M/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>High Medium Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREMISES AND FACILITIES

Provider’s strengths

LCT provide a very conducive environment in which delegates can learn effectively.

Training rooms are spacious, well-equipped and well maintained. There are rigorous approaches to ensuring the health and safety of delegates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions required</th>
<th>Priority H/M/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>High Medium Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECOMMENDED AREAS FOR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

It is recommended that the provider uses specific images relating to their training provision in the prospectus rather than the generic images currently used.

Although feedback is provided to delegates on an informal verbal basis during their training sessions, it is recommended that written feedback is provided on the full range of skills and knowledge that delegates develop, so that delegates can later reflect on the good progress they have made.

COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS